

References

- Abusch, D. (1997). Sequence of tense and temporal de re. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 20, 1–50.
- Aloni, M. (2004). On choice-offering imperatives. In P. Dekker and R. van Rooy (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Amsterdam Colloquium, 2003*. University of Amsterdam: ILLC.
- Aloni, M. (2005). Imperative sentences: Relevance and (free choice) implicatures. Talk at University of Frankfurt, 2.6.2005.
- Asher, N. and A. Lascarides (2003a). Imperatives in dialogue. In P. Kuehnlein, H. Rieser, and H. Zeevat (Eds.), *Perspectives on Dialogue in the New Millennium*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Asher, N. and A. Lascarides (2003b). *Logics of Conversation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bach, K. and R. Harnish (1979). *Linguistic Communication and Speech Acts*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Bäuerle, R. and T. E. Zimmermann (1991). Fragesätze. In A. v. Stechow and D. Wunderlich (Eds.), *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*, pp. 333–348. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Bierwisch, M. (1980). Structures and illocutionary force. In J. Searle (Ed.), *Speech Act Theory and Pragmatics*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Brasoveanu, A. (2007). *Structured nominal and modal reference*. Ph. D. thesis, Rutgers.
- Bybee, J., W. Pagliuca, and R. Perkins (1994). *The Evolution of Grammar: Tense, Aspect and Modality in the Languages of the World*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1975). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Condoravdi, C. (2002). Temporal interpretation of modals: Modals for the present and for the past. In D. Beaver, S. Kaufmann, B. Clark, and L. Casillas (Eds.), *The Construction of Meaning*, pp. 59–88. Stanford: CSLI.
- Culicover, P. W. and R. Jackendoff (1997). Semantic subordination despite syntactic coordination. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28, 195–217.
- Davies, E. (1986). *The English Imperative*. London: Croom Helm.
- Donhauser, K. (1986). *Der Imperativ im Deutschen: Studien zur Syntax und Semantik des deutschen Modussystems*. Hamburg: Buske.
- Dummett, M. (1973). Assertion. In M. Dummett (Ed.), *Frege - Philosophy of Language*.
- von Fintel, K. and S. Iatridou (2005). What to do if you want to go to harlem: Anankastic conditionals and related matters.
- Frege, G. (1918). Der Gedanke. Eine logische Untersuchung. *Beiträge zur Philosophie des deutschen Idealismus* 2, 58–77.
- Gazdar, G. (1979). *Pragmatics: Implicature, Presupposition, and Logical Form*. New York: Academic Press.

- Gazdar, G. (1981). Speech act assignment. In A. Joshi, B. Webber, and I. Sag (Eds.), *Elements of Discourse Understanding*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Geurts, B. (1999). *Presuppositions and Pronouns*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Geurts, B. (t.a.). Entertaining alternatives. To appear in 'Natural Language Semantics'.
- Grewendorf, G. (1972). Sprache ohne Kontext. Zur Kritik der performativen Analyse. In D. Wunderlich (Ed.), *Linguistische Pragmatik*, pp. 144–182. Frankfurt/Main: Athenäum.
- Grewendorf, G. (1979). Haben explizit performativ äußerungen einen Wahrheitswert? In G. Grewendorf (Ed.), *Sprechakttheorie und Semantik*, pp. 175–196. Frankfurt/Main: Suhrkamp.
- Grewendorf, G. (2002). How performatives work. In G. Grewendorf and G. Meggle (Eds.), *Speech acts, minds, and social reality*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Grewendorf, G. and D. Zaefferer (1991). Sprechakte. In A. von Stechow and D. Wunderlich (Eds.), *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*. Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.
- Groenendijk, J. and M. Stokhof (1984). *Studies on the Semantics of Questions and the Pragmatics of Answers*. Ph. D. thesis, Amsterdam.
- Grosz, P. (2008). Particle-izing imperatives. Ms., MIT.
- Hamblin, C. L. (1958). Questions. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 36, 159–168.
- Hamblin, C. L. (1987). *Imperatives*. New York: Blackwell.
- Han, C.-H. (1998). *The Structure and Interpretation of Imperatives*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania. (Published: Garland 2000).
- Hausser, R. (1980). Surface compositionality and the semantics of mood. In J. Searle, F. Kiefer, and M. Bierwisch (Eds.), *Speech Act Theory and Pragmatics*, Volume II, pp. 71–95. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Heim, I. (1982). *The Semantics of Definite and Indefinite Noun Phrases*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Heim, I. (1992). Presupposition projection and the semantics of attitude verbs. *Journal of Semantics* 9, 183–221.
- Huntley, M. (1980). Propositions and the imperative. *Synthese* 45, 281–310.
- Jensen, B. (t.a.). Imperative clause structure. To appear in T. Caudery (ed.) *Proceedings of the Ninth Conference for English Studies*. Arhus: Arhus UP.
- Kamp, H. (1973). Free choice permission. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 74, 57–74.
- Kamp, H. (1978). Semantics versus pragmatics. In F. Guenthner and S. J. Schmidt (Eds.), *Formal Semantics and Pragmatics for Natural Languages*, pp. 255–287. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Kamp, H. and U. Reyle (1993). *From Discourse to Logic*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Kaplan, D. (1989). Demonstratives. In J. Almog, J. Perry, and H. Wettstein (Eds.), *Themes From Kaplan*. Oxford.

- Karttunen, L. (1974). Presuppositions of compound sentences. *Linguistic Inquiry* 4, 167–193.
- Katz, J. and P. M. Postal (1964). *An Integrated Theory of Linguistic Descriptions*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Kratzer, A. (1978). *Semantik der Rede. Kontexttheorie, Modalwörter, Konditionalsätze*. Königstein: Scriptor.
- Kratzer, A. (1991). Modality. In D. Stechow, Arnim von und Wunderlich (Ed.), *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*, pp. 639–650. Berlin/New York: de Gruyter.
- Lewis, D. (1970). General semantics. *Synthese* 22, 18–67. Reprinted as Lewis 1972.
- Lewis, D. (1973). *Counterfactuals*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lewis, D. (1979). A problem about permission. In E. Saarinen and al. (Eds.), *Essays in Honor of Jaakko Hintikka*. Dordrecht: Reidel. Manuscript from 1970.
- Lohnstein, H. (2000). *Satzmodus - kompositionell*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Mastop, R. (2005). *What can you do?* Ph. D. thesis, ILLC Amsterdam.
- Matthewson, L., H. Rullman, and H. Davis (2005). Salish modal operators. Talk at ESSLI 2005, Edinburgh.
- McCready, E. and N. Ogata (2006). Evidentiality, modality and probability. Ms. accepted for Linguistics and Philosophy.
- McGinn, C. (1977). Semantics for nonindicative sentences. *Philosophical Studies* 32, 301–311.
- Merin, A. (1991). Imperatives: linguistics vs. philosophy. *Linguistics* 29, 669–702.
- Montague, R. (1974). *Formal Philosophy. Selected Papers of Richard Montague*. (Herausgegeben und mit einer Einführung von R. H. Thomason. ed.). New Haven/London: Yale University Press.
- Musan, R. (2002). *The German Perfect*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Ninan, D. (2005). Two puzzles about deontic necessity. In J. Gajewski, V. Hacquard, B. Nickel, and S. Yalcin (Eds.), *New Work on Modality. MIT Working Papers in Linguistics*, pp. 149–178. Cambridge, MA: MITWPL.
- Önnerfors, O. (1997). *Verberst Deklarativsätze. Grammatik und Pragmatik*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Pak, M., P. Portner, and R. Zanuttini (2004a). Deriving clause types: Focusing on Korean. In Y. I. of Language and I. Studies (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Linguistic Society of Korea 2004*, pp. 359–368. Seoul: Hanshin Publishing Company.
- Pak, M., P. Portner, and R. Zanuttini (2004b). Deriving clause types: Focusing on Korean. In *Proceedings of the Linguistics Society of Korea 2004*, pp. 359–368. Yonsei Institute of Language and Information Studies.
- Palmer, F. R. (1986). *Mood and Modality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Parsons, T. (1993). Theories of meaning and truth for natural language. Manuscript, UCI.
- Platzack, C. (2007). Embedded imperatives. See van der Wurff (2007), pp. 181–203.

- Platzack, C. and I. Rosengren (1997). On the subject of imperatives; a minimalist account of the imperative clause. *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 1.
- Portner, P. (1997). The semantics of mood, complementation, and conversational force. *Natural Language Semantics* 5, 167–212.
- Portner, P. (2005). The semantics of imperatives within a theory of clause types. In K. Watanabe and R. B. Young (Eds.), *Proceedings of SALT 14*. New York: CLC Publications.
- Portner, P. (2007). Imperatives and modals. *Natural Language Semantics* 15, 351–383.
- Poschmann, C. and M. Schwager (2008). Shifted imperatives and echoquestions. Ms., University of Frankfurt/University of Göttingen.
- Postma, G. and W. van der Wurff (2007). How to say ‘no’ and ‘don’t’. See van der Wurff (2007), pp. 205–249.
- Potsdam, E. (1998). *Syntactic Issues in English Imperatives*. Garland. Unrevised UCSC PhD Thesis from 1996.
- Rivero, M.-L. and A. Terzi (1995). Imperatives, V-movement and logical mood. *Journal of Linguistics* 31, 301–322.
- Roberts, C. (1989). Modal subordination and pronominal anaphora in discourse. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 12, 689–721.
- Rögnvaldsson, E. (1998). The syntax of the imperative in Old Scandinavian. Ms., University of Iceland.
- Rohrbaugh, E. (1997). *Scalar Interpretation in Deontic Speech Acts*. Outstanding dissertations in linguistics. New York, London: Garland.
- Rooy, R. V. and K. Schulz (2004). Pragmatic meaning and non-monotonic reasoning: The case of exhaustive interpretation. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 29, 2006.
- Ross, A. (1944). Imperatives and logic. *Philosophy of Science* 11, 30–46.
- Ross, J. (1967). *Constraints on Variables in Syntax*. Ph. D. thesis, MIT. Distributed by Indiana University Linguistics Club (Bloomington).
- Ross, J. (1970). On declarative sentences. In R. Jacobs and P. Rosenbaum (Eds.), *Readings in English Transformational Grammar*, pp. 222–272. Waltham, Mass.: Ginn.
- Sadock, J. M. (1974). *Towards a Linguistic Theory of Speech Acts*. New York: Academic Press.
- Sadock, J. M. and A. M. Zwicky (1985). Speech act distinctions in syntax. In T. Shopen (Ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, Volume I, pp. 155–196. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sæbø, K. J. (2002). Necessary conditions in a natural language. In C. Fery and W. Sternefeld (Eds.), *Audiatur Vox Sapientiae. A Festschrift for Arnim von Stechow*. Berlin: Akademieverlag.
- Schulz, K. (2003). You may read it now or later: A case study on the paradox of free choice permission. Master Thesis University of Amsterdam.

- Schwager, M. (2005a). Exhaustive imperatives. In M. Franke and P. Dekker (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Amsterdam Colloquium, December 19-21, 2005.*, pp. 233–238. Amsterdam: ILLC.
- Schwager, M. (2005b). Permitting permissions. In J. Gervain (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 10th ESSLLI Student Session 2005, Edinburgh*.
- Schwager, M. (2006a). Conditionalized imperatives. In C. Tancredi, M. Kanazawa, I. Imani, and K. Kusumoto (Eds.), *Proceedings of SALT XVI*.
- Schwager, M. (2006b). *Interpreting Imperatives*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Frankfurt/Main.
- Searle, J. R. (1975). Indirect speech acts. In P. Cole and J. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts*, pp. 59–82. New York: Academic Press.
- Segerberg, K. (1990). Validity and satisfaction in imperative logic. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 31, 203–221.
- Sells, P. (2003). Negative imperatives in korean. In *Proceedings of the 10th Harvard International Symposium on Korean Linguistics*.
- Stalnaker, R. (1978). Assertion. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 9*, pp. 315–332. New York: Academic Press.
- Stalnaker, R. (1999a). *Context and Content*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Stalnaker, R. (1999b). On the representation of context. In R. Stalnaker (Ed.), *Context and Content*, pp. 96–113. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press. Reprinted from JOLLI 7, 1998.
- von Stechow, A. (2002a). On the meaning of German ‘since’ and the German perfect. In B. Stiebels and I. Kaufmann (Eds.), *More than Words: A Festschrift for Dieter Wunderlich*, pp. 393–432. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- von Stechow, A. (2002b). Temporal prepositional phrases with quantifiers: Some additions to Pratt and Frances (2001). *Linguistics and Philosophy* 25, 755–800.
- von Stechow, A. (2003). Feature deletion under semantic binding: Tense, person, and mood under verbal quantifiers. In M. Kadowaki and S. Kawahara (Eds.), *NELS 33*, pp. 397–403. Amherst, Massachusetts: GLSA.
- von Stechow, A. (2004, July). Schritte zur Satzsemantik. Ms., Universität Tübingen.
- Stenius, E. (1967). Mood and language game. *Synthese* 17, 254–274.
- Tarski, A. (1936). Der Wahrheitsbegriff in den formalisierten Sprachen. *Studia Philosophica* 1, 261–404.
- Truckenbrodt, H. (2005). Explizit performative. Talk at ’Graduiertenkolleg Satzarten’, Universität Frankfurt am Main, February.
- van der Auwera, J. (2005). Prohibitives: Why two thirds of the world’s languages are unlike dutch. In P. Dekker and M. Franke (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 15th Amsterdam Colloquium*, pp. 25–30. ILLC/Department of Philosophy, University of Amsterdam.
- van Eijck, J. (2000). Making things happen. *Studia Logica* 66, 41–58.
- van Rooy, R. (2000). Permission to change. *Journal of Semantics* 17, 119–145.

- Werner, T. (2006). An analysis of existential anankastics: how to get there from here... In *Proceedings from Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) XVI*. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications.
- Wratil, M. (2005). *Die Syntax des Imperativs*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- von Wright, G. H. (1963). *Logical Studies*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- van der Wurff, W. (2007). *Imperative Clauses in Generative Grammar*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Xrakovskij, V. S. (2001). *Typologoy of Imperative Constructions*. München: LINCOM Europa.
- Zanuttini, R. (1994). Speculations on negative imperatives. *Rivista di Linguistica* 6, 119–141.
- Zarnic, B. (2002). Dynamic semantics, imperative logic and propositional attributes. *Uppsala Prints and Preprints in Philosophy* (1).
- Zeijlstra, H. (2004). *Sentential Negation and Negative Concord*. Ph. D. thesis, Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics.
- Zimmermann, T. E. (1991). Kontextabhängigkeit. In A. v. Stechow and D. Wunderlich (Eds.), *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössischen Forschung*, Chapter 9, pp. 156–229. de Gruyter.
- Zimmermann, T. E. (2000). Free choice disjunctions and epistemic possibility. *Natural Language Semantics* 8, 255–290.